

## **Draft comment scheme, paper #1**

NOTE: If a paper is not posted by 5 p.m. on Friday, you do not have to comment on it.

Your comments are due, posted on WebCT, by class on Tuesday, February 17. (Note that this is a change from the earlier calendar.) Revisions will be due, posted to WebCT, by 5 p.m. on Tuesday, February 24. This is also a change from the earlier calendar.

Name	Comment on (#1)	Comment on (#2)
Bradbury, Tiffany	Wood, Jonathan	Brady, Shannon
Brady, Shannon	Bradbury, Tiffany	Carter, Jennifer
Carter, Jennifer	Brady, Shannon	Cross, Daniel
Cross, Daniel	Carter, Jennifer	Egri, Ari
Egri, Ari	Cross, Daniel	Gregorio, Al
Gregorio, Al	Egri, Ari	Jackman, Steven
Jackman, Steven	Gregorio, Al	Kantor, Marcie
Kantor, Marcie	Jackman, Steven	Lorenz, Stephanie
Lorenz, Stephanie	Kantor, Marcie	Mitchell, Jen
Mitchell, Jen	Lorenz, Stephanie	Petermann, Adam
Petermann, Adam	Mitchell, Jen	Powers, Justin
Powers, Justin	Petermann, Adam	Tundo, Gene
Tundo, Gene	Powers, Justin	Vuturo, Dina
Vuturo, Dina	Tundo, Gene	Wood, Jonathan
Wood, Jonathan	Vuturo, Dina	Bradbury, Tiffany

### **Guidelines for response**

1. State briefly what you think the paper is about after reading it.
2. State briefly whether the author succeeds in the introduction in informing the reader what the paper is about – that is, is the paper really about what the introduction claims it is about?
3. Briefly assess the conclusion—does it seem to sum up the paper without just repeating the points in the introduction?
4. Outside of the introduction and conclusion, what one thing is most important for the author to focus on when revising?
5. What do you like about the paper—what should the author *not* change?