

## Unit 7 – Logistic Regression Practice Problems (1 of 2)

**Before you begin.** Download from the course website  
depress.xlsx or  
depress.Rdata

### Introduction to depress.xlsx

Source: Afifi A., Clark VA and May S. *Computer Aided Multivariate Analysis, Fourth Edition.* Boca Raton: Chapman and Hall, 2004.

The data are a study of depression and was a longitudinal study. The purpose of the study was to obtain estimates of the prevalence and incidence of depression and to explore its risk factors. The study variables were of several types – demographics, life events, stressors, physical health, health services utilization, medication use, lifestyle, and social support.

In this homework, we will be using the following three variables.

Variable	Codings	Label
drink	1 = yes      2 = no	Regular Drinker
sex	1 = male      2 = female	
cases	0 = Normal   1 = Case of Depression	Depressed is cesd $\geq$ 16

**R**

```
# Load data
library(tidyverse)
load(file="depress.Rdata")                                # Load(file="name.Rdata") to Load an R dataset

temp <- depress %>%
  select(sex, drink, cases)                                # select( ) to keep variables of interest
glimpse(temp)                                              # glimpse() in {tidyverse} to inspect dataset structure

## Observations: 294
## Variables: 3
## $ sex    <fct> 2. female, 1. male, 2. female, 2. female, 2. female, 1. ma...
## $ drink  <fct> 2. no, 1. yes, 1. yes, 2. no, 1. yes, 1. yes, 2. no, 2. no...
## $ cases  <fct> 0. normal, 0. normal, 0. normal, 0. normal, 0. normal, 0. ...
```

#1.

By any means you like, complete the following table by supplying the cell frequencies.

Regular Drinker	Sex at Birth		Total
	Male	Female	
Yes	95	139	234
No	16	44	60
Total	111	183	294

What are the odds that a male is a regular drinker?  $95 / 16 = 5.9132$

What are the odds that a female is a regular drinker?  $139 / 44 = 3.159$

What is the odds ratio? That is, what is the odds that a male, relative to a female, is a regular drinker?

$$\text{OR} = [\text{odds for male}] / [\text{odds for female}] = 5.9132 / 3.159 = 1.88$$

```
library(summarytools)
library(DescTools)
ctable(temp$sex,temp$drink,prop='n',total=TRUE)

## Cross-Tabulation
## sex * drink
## Data Frame: temp
## -----
##      drink  1. Yes  2. No  Total
##      sex
##  1. Male      95    16    111
##  2. Female    139    44    183
##      Total    234    60    294
## -----

OddsRatio(temp$drink,temp$sex,method="wald",conf.level=.95)

## odds ratio    lwr.ci    upr.ci
##  1.879496     1.002142    3.524956
```

#2.

Next, repeat the tabulation that you produced for exercise #1 two times, one for persons who are depressed and the other for persons who are not depressed.

### Among Persons Who are Depressed

Regular Drinker	Sex at Birth		Total
	Male	Female	
Yes	8	33	41
No	2	7	9
Total	10	40	50

What are the odds that a male is a regular drinker?  $8 / 2 = 4$

What are the odds that a female is a regular drinker?  $33 / 7 = 4.714$

What is the odds ratio? That is, what is the odds that a male, relative to a female is a regular drinker?

$$OR = [\text{odds for male}] / [\text{odds for female}] = 4/4.714 = 0.85$$

### Among Persons Who are NOT Depressed

Regular Drinker	Sex		Total
	Male	Female	
Yes	87	106	193
No	14	37	51
Total	101	143	244

What are the odds that a male is a regular drinker?  $87 / 14 = 6.214$

What are the odds that a female is a regular drinker?  $106 / 37 = 2.865$

What is the odds ratio? That is, what is the odds that a male, relative to a female, is a regular drinker?

$$OR = [\text{odds for male}] / [\text{odds for female}] = 6.214/2.865 = 2.17$$

```
library(summarytools)
library(DescTools)

subset_depressed <- subset(temp, cases=="1. depressed") # subset(SOURCE, CONDITION THAT MUST BE TRUE)
ctable(subset_depressed$sex,subset_depressed$drink,prop='n',total=TRUE)

## Cross-Tabulation
## sex * drink
## Data Frame: subset_depressed
##
## -----
##           drink  1. yes  2. no  Total
##      sex
##  1. male           8     2    10
##  2. female        33     7    40
##      Total        41     9    50
## -----

OddsRatio(subset_depressed$drink,subset_depressed$sex,method="wald",conf.level=.95)

## odds ratio      lwr.ci      upr.ci
##  0.8484848  0.1472930  4.8877157
```

OR = [odds for male] / [odds for female] = (8/2)/(33/7) = 0.85

```
subset_not <- subset(temp, cases=="0. normal")
ctable(subset_not$sex,subset_not$drink,prop='n',total=TRUE)

## Cross-Tabulation
## sex * drink
## Data Frame: subset_not
##
## -----
##           drink  1. yes  2. no  Total
##      sex
##  1. male           87    14   101
##  2. female        106    37   143
##      Total        193    51   244
## -----

OddsRatio(subset_not$drink,subset_not$sex,method="wald",conf.level=.95)

## odds ratio      lwr.ci      upr.ci
##  2.169137  1.101997  4.269666
```

OR = [odds for male] / [odds for female] = (87/14)/(106/37) = 2.17

### #3.

By any means you like, create the following 3 variables:

**drink01** = 0/1 indicator of **drink=1**  
**female01** = 0/1 indicator of **sex=2**  
**fem\_case** = 0/1 indicator of **female01 x cases**

```
temp$drink01 <- as.numeric(temp$drink == "1. yes", na.rm=TRUE)
temp$female01 <- as.numeric(temp$sex == "2. female", na.rm=TRUE)
temp$case01 <- as.numeric(temp$cases=="1. depressed", na.rm=TRUE)
temp$fem_case <- temp$female01*temp$case01

glimpse(temp)
## Observations: 294
## Variables: 7
## $ sex      <fct> 2. female, 1. male, 2. female, 2. female, 2. female, 1...
## $ drink    <fct> 2. no, 1. yes, 1. yes, 2. no, 1. yes, 1. yes, 2. no, 2...
## $ cases    <fct> 0. normal, 0. normal, 0. normal, 0. normal, 0. normal, ...
## $ drink01  <dbl> 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0...
## $ female01 <dbl> 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1...
## $ case01   <dbl> 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0...
## $ fem_case <dbl> 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0...
```

### #4.

Next, fit a logistic regression model with **drink01** as the dependent variable and **cases**, **female01**, and **fem\_case** as predictor variables. Is the interaction term **fem\_case** in your model significant?

```
m3 <- glm(drink01 ~ case01 + female01 + fem_case, data=temp, family=binomial)
summary(m3)

##
## Call:
## glm(formula = drink01 ~ case01 + female01 + fem_case, family = binomial,
##      data = temp)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -1.9880    0.5463    0.5833    0.7738    0.7738
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)   1.8269     0.2880   6.344 0.000000000224 ***
## case01        -0.4406     0.8414  -0.524    0.601
## female01      -0.7743     0.3455  -2.241    0.025 *
## fem_case       0.9386     0.9579   0.980    0.327
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##      Null deviance: 297.53  on 293  degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 291.92  on 290  degrees of freedom
```

This is the Wald test of NULL: Zero interaction

```
## AIC: 299.92
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4

exp(cbind(OR=coef(m3),confint(m3)))
```

	OR	2.5 %	97.5 %
(Intercept)	6.2142857	3.6573468	11.4086594
case01	0.6436782	0.1423289	4.5542681
female01	0.4610127	0.2277725	0.8901499
fem_case	2.5564834	0.3082721	15.2551393

NOTE: The 95% CI for the OR for interaction null value of 1

R

```
library(lmtest) # lrtest() in {lmtest} for Likelihood-Ratio Test
reduced <- glm(drink01 ~ case01 + female01, data=temp, family=binomial)
full <- glm(drink01 ~ case01 + female01 + fem_case, data=temp, family=binomial)
lrtest(reduced, full)
```

```
## Likelihood ratio test
##
## Model 1: drink01 ~ case01 + female01
## Model 2: drink01 ~ case01 + female01 + fem_case
##   #Df LogLik Df  Chisq Pr(>Chisq)
## 1    3 -146.40
## 2    4 -145.96  1  0.8759    0.3493
```

LR Test of NULL: zero interaction is NOT significant (p=.35)

The interaction term `fem_case` is not statistically significant.

$\hat{\beta}_3 = 0.9386$   $SE(\hat{\beta}_3) = 0.96$  and p-value = .35

In this sample, there is no statistically significant evidence that the odds regular drinking is associated with an interaction of gender and case of depression (LR test, df=1, p-value = .3493). This is close to the Wald statistic p-value = .327 that can be seen in the coefficients table.