

# *N-flationary magnetic fields*

*Lorenzo Sorbo*

Umass



Amherst

M.Anber and LS, astro-ph/0606534

Looking for inflation in String Theory...  
...as in Field Theory...


**radiative stability**  
of the inflaton potential is required!

As usual, symmetries help:  
a *shift symmetry* can keep the inflaton potential flat

***Natural Inflation***



natural inflaton  
=  
pseudo Nambu-Goldstone boson (pNGB)



$$V(\phi) = \Lambda^4 [1 + \cos(\phi/f)]$$

WMAP requires  $f > 3 M_P$ ...

Freese and Kinney 2004

...while String Theory seems to tolerate only  $f < M_P$ !

Banks, Dine, Fox and Gorbatov 2003

A way out:

***more than one pNGB***

- Mixture of a pNGB and a modulus  
Blanco-Pillado et al 2004
- Two pNGBs  
Kim, Nilles and Peloso 2004
- A lot of pNGBs  
Dimopoulos, Kachru, McGreevy and Wacker 2005



**N-flation**



# How does N-flation work?

Start from N pNGBs:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sqrt{-g} \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi_i)^2 + \Lambda_i^4 [1 + \cos(\phi_i/f_i)] \right\}$$

Assume that all the  $\phi_i$ , all the  $f_i$  and all the  $\Lambda_i$  are equal:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{N}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 + N \Lambda^4 [1 + \cos(\phi/f)] \right\}$$

Canonically normalized field

$$\Phi = \sqrt{N} \phi$$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\partial\Phi)^2 + N \Lambda^4 \left[ 1 + \cos \left( \frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{N} f} \right) \right] \right\}$$

Can be  $> M_P$ !

$N > 600$  required by WMAP

Kim and Liddle 2006

pNGBs are coupled to the  
electromagnetic field!

M. Anber, LS

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha \frac{\phi_i}{4 M_P} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$(\alpha = \mathcal{O}(1))$$



Magnetic fields can be produced  
by the rolling pNGBs  
at inflation



# Cosmological magnetic fields

- Observed with intensities of order  $\mu\text{Gauss}$
- Coherence lengths of 10s of kpcs
- ***Unknown origin***

Can be amplified by a *dynamo mechanism*

**Seed field required  $\sim 10^{-30}$  G**

back to our model...

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha \frac{\phi_i}{4 M_P} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$$

Electromagnetic field coupled to the *sum* of the pNGBs

the *direction* of rolling of the pNGBs matters:

define  $\gamma = (N_+ - N_-)/N$  where

$N_+ = \#$  of pNGBs with  $\dot{\phi} > 0$

$N_- = \#$  of pNGBs with  $\dot{\phi} < 0$

$$[-1 < \gamma < 1]$$



## The main equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_{\pm}}{\partial \tau^2} + \left( k^2 \pm \frac{\alpha \gamma \sqrt{N}}{M_P} \frac{d\Phi}{d\tau} k \right) F_{\pm} = 0$$

( $F_{\pm}$  = >ve and <ve helicity modes of the magnetic field)

---

The result depends only on one combination of parameters

$$\xi \equiv |\alpha \gamma| \sqrt{N \epsilon / 2}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the slow-roll parameter

# The main result

$$F(\tau, \vec{k}) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{k}{2}} \left( \frac{k}{2\xi aH} \right)^{1/4} e^{-2\sqrt{2\xi k/aH}} e^{\pi\xi}$$

Exponential amplification term!



## A Constraint...

The energy in the magnetic field should not exceed the energy in the inflaton condensate!

If insist on COBE normalization ( $H \sim 10^{13} \text{ GeV}$ ),

$$\xi < 7$$


If require just  $H > 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$ ,

$$\xi < 25$$

# Evolving the field in the cosmic plasma

The magnetic field produced has *maximal helicity*

Magnetohydrodynamic processes (*inverse cascade*)  
transfer power to large scales



From Jedamzik and Banerjee 2004



# Final value of the magnetic field (before the dynamo)

$$B \simeq 10^{-33} \frac{e^{\pi \xi}}{\xi^{17/12}} \left( \frac{T_{\text{RH}}}{10^9 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{11/36} \left( \frac{l_{\text{phys}}}{10 \text{ kpc}} \right)^{-9/4} \text{ G}$$

$$\xi \gtrsim 2$$

is sufficient to initiate the dynamo

In terms of the original parameters

$$\sqrt{N} \gtrsim 10$$



Enough magnetic field for  
and/or  $\sqrt{N}$  of  $O(\text{few})!$



## Discussion...

→ One obvious possibility:  $N=\text{few}$ ,  $\sim 10$

→ More difficult: insist on  $=1$

e.g. for  $N=600$ , need  $N_+\sim 420$  and  $N_-\sim 180$ ...


...rather improbable, if the theory is exactly symmetric wrt  $\phi_i \rightarrow -\phi_i$

...but an asymmetry can exist:

(Blanco-Pillado et al 2004)

$$V(\phi) = \Lambda_1^4 \cos a\phi + \Lambda_2^4 \cos b\phi + \Lambda_3^4 \cos(a-b)\phi$$

An asymmetric axion potential...





# Conclusions

- Models of inflation in string theory might naturally lead to the observed magnetic fields
- Overproduction of magnetic fields could kill some of these models
- $O(1)$  coefficients matter!