EDUC821: Advanced Validity Theory and Test Validation

Stephen G. Sireci, Ph.D.
156 Hills House South
(413)545-0564 (phone)
(413)545-1523 (fax)
sireci@acad.umass.edu
http://people.umass.edu/~sireci/

Office Hours for Spring 2014:
Tuesdays: 12:30-2:30
Friday: 1:00-2:00
Other times by appointment

Course Objectives

Validity has been described as the most important aspect of test quality, yet many psychometricians have trouble articulating what validity is, and even more trouble validating tests. The purpose of this course is to introduce you to different perspectives and theories of test validity and to the process of accumulating validity evidence for educational tests. My goal is to familiarize you with many of the seminal articles in the validity literature and to illustrate how the process of test validation changes according to the specifics of the testing situation. We will address validation issues in educational testing, employment testing, certification testing, and other areas. Upon successful completion of this course, students will have a firm grasp of the technical and philosophical aspects of test validity and will have the skills to initiate and carry out a validity agenda for an educational testing program.

Topics to be covered in this course include:

- Origins and evolution of validity theory
- The AERA, APE, & NCME Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing
- Sources of validity evidence
- Responsibilities of test developers and test users
- Unitary conceptualization of validity
- Social considerations and equity issues in testing
- Statistical methods for validating test scores and evaluating tests
- Accumulating evidence in support of a validity argument
- Validating passing scores and other standards
- Assessing special populations—access and equity issues
- Legal versus psychometric criteria for evaluating tests
- Validating a theory of action

Course requirements
It is expected students will attend and actively participate in all classes. The reading load for this course is relatively heavy. I expect you to come to class prepared to discuss the extremely interesting reading assignments for that day. In addition, there will be weekly assignments, a midterm assignment, and a final assignment.
Grading: The requirements above are given a weight to determine your final grade as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>% of Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attendance/Participation</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly Assignments</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midterm project</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final project</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attendance/participation and all assignments are graded on a 0-100 scale. Each missed class reduces the attendance/participation grade by 10 points. Medical illness and other acceptable emergencies will be exceptions to this policy. Final grades of 94-100 receive an A, 90-93 receive an A-, 87-89 receive a B+, 81-86 receive a B, 78-80 receive a C+, 70-77 receive a C, and below 70 receive an F.

Late assignments: Late assignments will be reduced by one-letter grade for each day late (e.g., a maximum grade of “C” will be given to an exceptional assignment submitted two days late). Unforeseen emergencies, as determined by the professor, will be exceptions to this policy.

Textbook

The only “textbook” required for this class is the *Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing* (American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, & National Council on Measurement in Education, 1999), which is available from AERA at www.AERA.net (see http://www.aera.net/AboutAERA/KeyPrograms/SocialJustice/StandardsforEducationalandPsychologicalTestin/tabid/10938/Default.aspx). We will use this resource throughout the course. Even though the next version is due out within the next year, this will be an important resource for you now and it will be interesting to see how it compares with the next version. In addition to this text, I will distribute intellectually stimulating articles each week. I suggest you assemble these articles into some type of binder for the course.

If you feel the need to purchase a supplementary text, the book *The Concept of Validity* edited by Lissitz (2009) would be a good choice. Another option would be *Test Validity* edited by Wainer and Braun (1988), which is very good, but a bit dated. The citation for these books and several others are included in the extensive list of references appended to this syllabus.

Resources for learning course material

You have at least four resources for helping understand the material presented in this course.

1) Me: I will do my best to present material clearly in class. Your class notes should be useful for completing assignments and examinations. In addition, I am available outside of class during my office hours and by appointment. You can also ask me questions using e-mail. See the top of this syllabus for office hours and e-mail address.

2) The reading assignments: I selected these assignments because I think they are exceptional for understanding the material taught in the course and represent significant contributions to the validity literature. The only exceptions are the articles I authored. I stuck those in there just to impress you and because it helps my ego to force others to read them.
3) The handouts: I will give you numerous handouts throughout the semester. These handouts are designed to summarize and supplement the lectures. I strongly recommend you review them in completing assignments and exams.

4) Each other: I encourage you to discuss class content and reading assignments with your classmates. Illuminating class discussion is a critical feature of this course.

**Plagiarism policy:**

It is expected that you will speak with others about course content and even work collaboratively on some class assignments. However, direct copying of someone else’s work is **not** allowed. Printing out someone else’s computer output, and handing it in as your own work, is also not allowed. Passing off someone else’s work as your own will result in failing this course. In the University’s Academic Regulations, plagiarism is defined as “knowingly representing the words or ideas of another as one's own work in any academic exercise. This includes submitting without citation, in whole or in part, prewritten term papers of another or the research of another, including but not limited to commercial vendors who sell or distribute such materials.” See [http://www.umass.edu/registrar/sites/default/files/academicregs.pdf](http://www.umass.edu/registrar/sites/default/files/academicregs.pdf). Please see me if you have questions about this policy, or if you have trouble completing any assignments.

**Accommodation policy:**

I strive to provide an equal educational opportunity for all students. If you have a physical, psychological, or learning disability, you may be eligible for reasonable academic accommodations to help you succeed in this course. If you have a documented disability that requires an accommodation, please notify me within the first two weeks of the semester so that I can make appropriate arrangements to provide any needed accommodations.
### TENTATIVE Class Schedule

**Spring 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Readings*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/27</td>
<td>Overview: What we all know about validity The 5 Sources of Validity Evidence</td>
<td>Lehman (1999); McGinn (1999); Jenkins (1946); Guilford (1946); Ebel (1961); AERA et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>Validity Past, Present, and Future</td>
<td>Sireci (2009b), Rulon (1946), Cronbach &amp; Meehl (1955)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/10</td>
<td>Early Conceptualizations of Validity The Construct of Construct Validity</td>
<td>Campbell &amp; Fiske (1959); Pitoniak, Sireci, &amp; Luecht (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>2/18</em></td>
<td><em>NOTE: THIS IS A TUESDAY</em> Multitrait-Multimethod Matrix</td>
<td>Messick (1989b, 13-34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/24</td>
<td>Validity as a Unitary Concept</td>
<td>Messick (1989b, 34-63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>Construct Validation</td>
<td>Messick (1989b, 63-92); Shepard (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10</td>
<td>Test Interpretation and Test Use</td>
<td>Sireci (1998); Bhola, Impara, &amp; Buckendahl (2003); Martone &amp; Sireci (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/17</td>
<td>(Midterms due)</td>
<td>SPRING BREAK—GO SOMEWHERE WARM!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/7</td>
<td>Gathering and Analyzing Criterion-related Evidence of Validity</td>
<td>AERA et al. (1999), pp. 163-169; Linn (2009); Sireci (in press); U.S. Dept. of Education (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/14</td>
<td>Validating a Theory of Action Validity for Accountability Testing</td>
<td>AERA et. al. (1999), pp. 91-108; Geisinger (2005); Sireci, Han, &amp; Wells (2008); Phillips (2000); Sireci (2005a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>4/23</em></td>
<td><em>NOTE: THIS IS A WEDNESDAY</em> Assessing Students with Disabilities and Linguistic Minorities</td>
<td>Lane (2014); Sireci &amp; Geisinger (1998); Sireci &amp; Parker (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/7</td>
<td><strong>Finals Due (No Class)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Readings will be distributed on the date they are listed and will be discussed the next class.
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