

Homework 4: pp.51-2, 1,2,3

Questions

Q1: What's the difference between antisymmetric and asymmetric?

A1: R is asymmetric if for all $\langle x,y \rangle \in R$, $\langle y,x \rangle \notin R$.

R is antisymmetric if for all $\langle x,y \rangle \in R$, $\langle y,x \rangle \notin R$ *unless* $x=y$.

e.g. $\{\langle 1,1 \rangle, \langle 1,2 \rangle, \langle 2,3 \rangle\}$ is not asymmetric because of $\langle 1,1 \rangle$, but it is antisymmetric.

(1)

(a)

(i) "is a child of" is irreflexive, asymmetric, intransitive.

- No person is a child of him/herself
- For all people, if x is a child of y, then y is not a child of x.
- if x is a child of y and y a child of z, then for no person is x a child of z.

(ii) "is a brother of" is irreflexive, non-symmetric, nontransitive.

- No person is a brother of him/herself
 - If x is a brother of y, then y may be x's brother.
- However, if x is a brother of y and y is a female, y is not x's brother.
- if John is a brother of Joe and Joe of Bill, then John is a brother of Bill.
- However, if John is a brother of Joe and Joe of John, John is not a brother

of himself.

(iii) "is a descendent of" is irreflexive, asymmetric, transitive.

- No person is a descendent of themselves.
- For no pair of people x,y is x a descendent of y and y of x.
- If x is a descendent of y and y of z, then x is a descendent of z.

(iv) "is an uncle of" is non-reflexive, nonsymmetric, nontransitive.

- John can be an uncle an uncle of himself if he marries his aunt.
 - If John is Joe's uncle, Joe is not John's uncle.
- But if John is John's uncle, then John is John's uncle, therefore not

asymmetric.

- Ditto for nontransitive.

(b) (ii) would change if restricted to the set of all humans.

It would be symmetric. If x is y's brother, then y is x's brother as long as x,y are males.

(2) (a) "x,y are a minimal pair of utterances"

- irreflexive (no utterance forms a minimal pair with itself)
- symmetric
- nontransitive (e.g. $\{\langle \text{cat}, \text{bat} \rangle, \langle \text{bat}, \text{bag} \rangle\}$, but not $\langle \text{cat}, \text{bag} \rangle$)
- nonconnected.

(b) "x,y are phones in complementary distribution"

- irreflexive (no phone is in complementary distrib. with itself)
- symmetric
- nontransitive (Real example: English [t]-[r]-[t^h] are in complementary distribution, so the pairs $\langle t, r \rangle$, $\langle r, t^h \rangle$, $\langle t, t^h \rangle$ exist in the set. However, it is nontransitive because: [t] is in compl. distrib. with [r] and [d] is in compl. distrib with [r], but [t] is not in compl. distrib. with [d].

(c) “x,y are phones in free variation”

- reflexive or irreflexive, depending on whether a phone is in free variation with itself.

- symmetric
- nontransitive.

We could imagine a situation in which [b] and [β] are in free variation, and [v] and [β] are in free variation, but [b] and [v] are not.

i.e. [vata]~[βata], [bata]~[βata], *[vata]~[bata]

- nonconnected.

(d) “x,y are allophones of the same phoneme”

- reflexive

[t] and [t] are allophones of /t/.

- symmetric
- non-transitive

Similar to reasoning above.

$\langle t, r \rangle \in A$ because [t] and [r] are allophones of /t/.

$\langle r, d \rangle \in A$ because [r] and [d] are allophones of /d/.

But $\langle t, d \rangle \notin A$.

- nonconnected.

(e)

- reflexive
- symmetric
- transitive
- nonconnected

Each equivalence class contains all the sets that have the same number of members.

(3)(a) R_1 and R_1^{-1} : reflexive, antisymmetric, nontransitive, nonconnected.

(i) There are no pairs $\langle x, y \rangle$, $\langle y, x \rangle$ for $x \neq y$. Therefore, antisymmetric.

R'_1 : irreflexive, nonsymmetric, nontransitive, connected.

(i) book says non-connected.

R_2 and R_2^{-1} : irreflexive, asymmetric, transitive, connected.

R_2' : reflexive, antisymmetric, transitive, connected.

R_3 , R_3^{-1} : nonreflexive, symmetric, nontransitive, nonconnected.

R_3' : nonreflexive, symmetric, nontransitive, nonconnected.

R_4 and R_4^{-1} : reflexive, symmetric, transitive, nonconnected.

R_4' : irreflexive, symmetric, intransitive, nonconnected.

R_4 is an equivalence relation.

The partition induced in A is $\{\{1,3\}, \{2,4\}\}$

(b) $\{\langle 1,1\rangle, \langle 2,2\rangle, \langle 3,3\rangle, \langle 4,4\rangle, \langle 2,3\rangle, \langle 3,2\rangle\}$

(c) 15

They are:

$\{\{1,2,3,4\}\}$	$\{\{1,2,3\}, \{4\}\}$	$\{\{1\}, \{2,3\}, \{4\}\}$
$\{\{1\}, \{2,3,4\}\}$	$\{\{1,2,4\}, \{3\}\}$	$\{\{1,2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}\}$
$\{\{1,2\}, \{3,4\}\}$	$\{\{1,3,4\}, \{2\}\}$	$\{\{1,3\}, \{2\}, \{4\}\}$
$\{\{1,3\}, \{2,4\}\}$	$\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3,4\}\}$	$\{\{1,4\}, \{2\}, \{3\}\}$
$\{\{1,4\}, \{2,3\}\}$	$\{\{1\}, \{3\}, \{2,4\}\}$	$\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}\}$
