Assignment 2

1: Assuming the judgements given, give an account of the following pattern of grammaticality.

   a. i. *The discussion of the match was more animated than the one of the riots.
       ii. The discussion at the match was more animated than the one in the bar.

   b. i. The discussion of the riots and their implications was full and frank.
       ii. The discussion at the match and in the bar was full and frank.
       iii. *The discussion of the riots and in the bar was full and frank.

   c. i. The discussion of the riots in the bar was full and frank.
       ii. *The discussion in the bar of the riots was full and frank.

2. The following NPs raise questions about the argument/adjunct distinction and where modifiers attach respectively. Examine the data and draw conclusions about the structures of these NPs, providing the arguments behind your conclusions.

   a. [The journey from Dusseldorf to Paris on All Saints Day] was tiring.
   b. [The DJ at the club last week] rang Olafur up yesterday.

3. For this question, assume the grammaticality judgements given. You have to provide an explanation for the pattern of grammaticality seen in the following examples.

   a. i. [No king of any country] abdicated.
       ii. [No king of any importance] abdicated.
       iii. [No king of any country of any importance] abdicated.

   b. i. [The lack of any discipline in some schools] worried them.
       ii. *[The lack of discipline in any schools] worried them.
       iii. [The lack of teachers with any qualifications] worried them.